

TO: W.S.O. Inventory Group; W.S.C. Interim Committee:

FROM: Freestate Region:

RE: Input-Inventory Group; 2 September 93

We understand that the inventory group has a tuff task. We thank the members for doing this for our fellowship. We also have some questions or input. The first question we have is why the basic questionaires were not distributed to at least, all RUR's for evaluation? Does the group feel we have a right to look at these a head of time? Could not our input been of some type of value in forming or re-writting the questions, at least? We realize wis is one of the largest steps forward this fellowship will make. Did we not have the right to do some evaluations early in this inventory? Members in our regions have valuable experience in all aspects of our fellowship. is sparting to give the appearance that this is not all inclusive, giving room for the rumors. Please do not take this last statement as a negative towards what is being done. It is fast that the RSR's should be a part of the process. We feel that the RSR's are the eyes and pars of our fellowhip, not just at the conference. The RSR's will be the ones explaining information on the use of this inventory. would be appreciated in the inventory group could have accurate minutes sent to the RSR's. Would it be too much of a burden to have each member of the group give us a bi-monthly or quarterly report containing a few thoughts of their evaluation, of the direction this is taking or problem they see? We do have faith in this process, but feel it would not hurt having better information. We would also like to see some exact financia statements. What has this cost so far, and your future budget. Hire the consultants if you need them, but consult as along the way.

Freestate Region RSR

0.0.Box 166

Olk Mills MD 21920